Risk factors and outcomes in hand-foot syndrome: A retrospective cohort study

Authors: Lily Kaufman, BS; Teja Mallela, BS; Brittany Dulmage, MD **Affiliations:** The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Department of Dermatology

BACKGROUND AND STUDY AIMS

- Hand-foot syndrome (HFS) is a well-known chemotherapy-associated toxicity, impacting quality of life and treatment tolerability.¹
- HFS is characterized by pain, numbness, tingling, erythema, edema, cracking, and desquamation of the palms and soles.²
- This study investigates the influence of chemotherapy regimens and patient factors on HFS severity, timing, and treatment interruptions.

METHODS

- The primary data source for this study was the Ohio State Information Warehouse.
- Inclusion criteria included:
- Age of 18 or older, diagnosed with cancer, completed chemotherapy between May 2010 and May 2023
- Data collected:
- Age at the time of cancer diagnosis, sex, race, ethnicity
- Information regarding dermatologic conditions, cancer diagnoses, chemotherapy regimens, and side effects from chemotherapy
- Statistical analysis:
- Nonparametric statistical tests were used to assess associations between clinical, demographic, and treatment-related variables and HFS outcomes. Spearman's rank correlation was used for continuous and ordinal variables, Mann-Whitney U tests for comparisons between two groups, Kruskal-Wallis tests for comparisons across multiple groups, and Chi-square tests for categorical associations. Statistical significance was set at p-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Sample size (N)	62
Age (years) – mean (SD)	57.32 (12.208)
Sex – n (%)	
Male	27 (43.5)
Female	35 (56.5)
BMI – mean (SD)	27.65 (5.71)
Diabetes – n (%)	8 (12.9)
Smoking (Pack-years) – mean (SD)	5.97 (19.19)
Alcohol use (Drinks/week) – mean (SD)	1.02 (1.83)
HFS Severity – n (%)	
Grade 1	18 (29.0)
Grade 2	28 (45.2)
Grade 3	10 (16.1)
HFS Distribution – n (%)	
Hands only	12 (19.4)
Feet only	8 (12.9)
Both	41 (66.1)
Missing	1 (1.6)
Functional impairment – n (%)	14 (22.6)
TABLE 1. Cohort Demographics	

Predictor	Statistic	P-value
Age	$\rho = -0.051$	0.694
Sex	U = 463.0	0.893
Race	H = 3792	0 435
BMI	o = -0.036	0.779
Diabetes	U = 159.5	0.235
Pack-years	0 = -0.298	0.019*
Drinks per week	$\rho = 0.087$	0.544
Functional impairment	U = 247.0	0 134
HFS severity	o = -0.076	0.559
HFS distribution	H = 1.311	0.519
Capecitabine cumulative dose	$\rho = 0.670$	<0.001*
5-Fluorouracil cumulative dose	ρ = 0.944	<0.001*
Oxaliplatin cumulative dose	ρ = 0.720	<0.001*
TABLE 2. Predictors of time to HFS onset		
Predictor	Statistic	P-value
Age	U = 406.5	0.348
Sex	$X^2 = 0.825$	0.364
Race	$X^2 = 4.140$	0.387
BMI	U = 446.5	0.712
Diabetes	$X^2 = 7.218$	0.007*
Pack-years Drinks per week	U = 432.5 U = 278.0	0.512
Functional impairment	$X^2 = 5.718$	0.017*
HES soverity	$X^2 - 0.536$	0.023*
HES distribution	$X^{2} = 1.069$	0.023
HFS treatment count	U = 150.0	< 0.001*
Capecitabine cumulative dose	U = 131.5	0.002*
5-Eluorouracil cumulative dose	U = 110	0 644
Ovalialatin cumulativo doso	11 - 50.0	0.167
	O = O O O	0.107
Predictor	Statistic	
Ade	0 = -0.116	0 368
Sex	U = 453.5	0.300
Race	H = 4.819	0.306
BMI	$\rho = 0.134$	0.299
Diabetes	U = 193.0	0.606
Pack-years	$\rho = -0.057$	0.661
Drinks per week	$\rho = -0.192$	0.176
Functional impairment	U = 280.0	0.314
HFS distribution	H = 2.415	0.299
HFS onset	$\rho = -0.076$	0.559
HFS treatment count	ρ = 1.000	0.023*
Capecitabine cumulative dose	ρ = -0.187	0.208
5-Fluorouracil cumulative dose	ρ = 0.030	0.927
Oxaliplatin cumulative dose	ρ = 0.219	0.272
IABLE 4. Predictors of HFS severity		

RESULTS

In this large, single-institutional analysis:

Demographic and Behavioral Factors

- related limitations.
- HFS among heavier smokers.

Clinical and Treatment-Related Predictors

- with time to HFS onset:

- linked to later HFS onset.

Symptom Burden and Treatment Response

REFERENCES

doi:10.1080/14740338.2016.1238067 doi:10.1111/j.1610-0387.2010.07449.x



The Ohio State UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

CONCLUSION

• Age, sex, and race were not significantly associated with time to HFS onset, severity, functional impairment, chemotherapy being held, or the number of treatments attempted.

BMI was significantly associated with functional impairment (U = 215.0, p = 0.042), suggesting body composition may play a role in HFS-

• **Pack-years** showed a weak but significant negative correlation with time to HFS onset ($\rho = -0.298$, $\rho = 0.019$), indicating earlier onset of

• **Cumulative chemotherapy exposure** was significantly associated

• Capecitabine: $\rho = 0.670$, p < 0.001

• 5-FU: $\rho = 0.944$, p < 0.001

• Oxaliplatin: $\rho = 0.720$, p < 0.001

• These findings suggest that prolonged or higher cumulative dosing is

• Cumulative capecitabine dose was also significantly associated with: • Chemotherapy being held (U = 131.5, p = 0.002) • Functional impairment (U = 131.5, p = 0.002)

• **HFS severity** was significantly associated with: • Chemotherapy being held ($\chi^2 = 9.536$, p = 0.023) • Number of treatments attempted ($\rho = 1.000$, p = 0.023) Chemotherapy needing to be held was also associated with: • Functional impairment ($\chi^2 = 5.718$, p = 0.017) • Diabetes ($\chi^2 = 7.218$, p = 0.007) • Higher treatment burden (U = 150.0, p < 0.001) • **HFS distribution** was significantly associated with functional impairment (χ^2 = 8.864, p = 0.012), with patients experiencing both hand and foot involvement more likely to report limitations.

1.Nikolaou V, Syrigos K, Saif MW. Incidence and implications of chemotherapy related handfoot syndrome. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2016;15(12):1625-1633.

2.Degen A, Alter M, Schenck F, et al. The hand-foot-syndrome associated with medical tumor therapy - classification and management. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges. 2010;8(9):652-661.