# A prospective observational study of cardiotoxicity associated with immune checkpoint and/or angiogenesis inhibitors

<sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology, Iwata City Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Division of Thoracic Oncology, Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Clinical Research Center, Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Division of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Shizuoka Cancer Center, Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>5</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Fujieda Municipal General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>6</sup>Department of Surgery, Juntendo University Shizuoka, Japan. <sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Shizuoka General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan.

### Introduction

- The number of cancer survivors is increasing due to improved cancer treatment outcomes<sup>1</sup>). However, as survival times increase, cancer therapeutics-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) has become an increasing problem<sup>2,3)</sup>.
- $\checkmark$  To establish early diagnosis and intervention strategies for CTRCD, information on the incidence and risk factors is needed. In particular, there is a lack of information on the cardiotoxicity of recently developed immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) and angiogenesis inhibitors (Als).
- $\checkmark$  We designed this study to explore the frequency and risk factors of cardiac dysfunction associated with ICIs and Als.
  - 1. Mayer DK, et al. Lancet Oncol 2017.
  - 2. Carver JR. et al. J Clin Oncol 2007.
  - 3. Plana JC, et al. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014.

# Patients and Methods



## **Results**

Table 1. Patient characteristics (N = 199*)				
Age (years)				
71				
37-88				
51 (26%)				
148 (74%)				
99 (50%)				
32 (16%)				
25 (13%)				
19 (10%)				
12 (6%)				
5 (3%)				
7 (3%)				
88 (44%)				
50 (25%)				
39 (20%)				
16 (8%)				
65				
27-78				
0.009				
0.003-0.140				
108				
5-3120				

### \*One ineligible patient was excluded from this analysis

Patients	receiving	ICIs	and/or	Als: n	= 194

- Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs): n = 158
- Angiogenesis inhibitors (Als): n = 53
- Both drugs: n = 17

Table 2. ICIs and/or AIs administered (n = 194)

Als, n (%)	
Bevacizumab	46 (23%)
Ramucirumab	6 (3%)
Lenvatinib	1 (1%)
ICls**, n (%)	
Pembrolizumab	65 (32%)
Nivolumab	48 (24%)
Atezolizumab	33 (16%)
Ipilimumab	18 (9%)
Durvalumab	12 (6%)
Tremelimumab	1 (1%)

\*\*In 19 cases, multiple ICIs were administered

Figure 1. Incidence of LVD in all eligible patients (N = 199)



- 4 (2.5%) treated with ICI (n = 158) and 1 (1.9%) treated with AI (n = 53)
- In 2 of 5 patients, LVDs were symptomatic.

Variables	n	LVD	Odds ratio	95% CI	p
Age					
< 71 years old	99	0 (0%)	Reference		
$\geq$ 71 years old	100	5 (5%)	Inf	0.925-Inf	0.059
Other anticancer drugs					
Without	52	0 (0%)	Reference		
With	142	4 (2.8%)	Inf	0.241-Inf	0.575
LVEF*** (baseline)					
< 53%	10	0 (0%)	Reference		
≥ 53%	188	5 (2.7%)	Inf	0.044-Inf	1.000
Troponin T*** (baseline)					
< 0.015 ng/mL	157	2 (1.3%)	Reference		
≥ 0.015 ng/mL	42	3 (7.1%)	5.889	0.651-72.602	0.064
NT-proBNP***(baseline)					
< 126 pg/mL	114	1 (0.9%)	Reference		
≥ 126 pg/mL	85	4 (4.7%)	5.536	0.535-276.788	0.166
Concomitant arrhythmias					
Without	183	4 (2.2%)	Reference		
With	16	1 (6.2%)	2.959	0.057-32.510	0.345

### Summary and conclusion

troponin T levels. cardiotoxicity.

Masaki Takinami<sup>1</sup>, Ryo Ko<sup>2</sup>, Akifumi Notsu<sup>3</sup>, Kunihiro Fushiki<sup>4</sup>, Junichi Kaneko<sup>1</sup>, Shigeto Yoshii<sup>5</sup>, Kenichiro Tanaka<sup>6</sup>, Keisei Taku<sup>7</sup>, Keita Mori<sup>3</sup>, Hirofumi Yasui<sup>4</sup>, Toshiaki Takahashi<sup>2</sup>

rvational study.	Primary endpoint ✓ Left ventricular dysfunction (LVD)** frequency on echocardiography	<ul> <li>**Definition of LVD</li> <li>Echocardiographic evaluation decrease in LVEF to less that</li> </ul>
	<u>Secondary endpoints</u>	Key eligibility criteria
nd/or Als*	<ul> <li>✓ Frequency of cardiotoxicities other than LVD (other cardiotoxicities)</li> <li>✓ Risk factors associated with LVD and other cardiotoxicities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Patients with solid tumors with</li> <li>✓ Plans to start treatment with a</li> </ul>
nd/or Als	<ul><li>✓ Frequency of symptomatic LVD</li><li>✓ Treatment for LVD</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ The patient is at least 20 year</li> <li>Key exclusion criteria</li> </ul>
	*Factors to be evaluated	<ul> <li>✓ Previous treatment with ICI ar</li> <li>Sample size</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) by echocardiography</li> <li>Electrocardiogram</li> <li>Blood test (cardiac muscle troponin T and NT-pro BNP)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Assuming a 10% incidence of needed to achieve a 95% con</li> <li>Poarson method. Accounting the second secon</li></ul>

### Table 3. Univariate analysis of the incidence of LVD in all eligible patients (N = 199)

Cuton values were set in accordance with Japanese reference values

In this cohort, LVDs were observed in 5 patients (2.5%) treated with ICIs and/or AIs (4 [2.5%] treated with ICIs and 1 [1.9%] treated with AIs). No significant risk factors were observed, although there was a trend toward a higher incidence of LVDs in cases over 70 years old and in cases of high baseline

Other cardiotoxicities occurred in 28 patients (14.1%) treated using ICIs and/or AIs (ICI group: 21 [13.3%]; AI group: 12 [22.6%]). Common cardiotoxicities were hypertension and arrhythmia. Concomitant arrhythmias (p = 0.002) and high baseline NT-pro BNP levels (p = 0.007) were

significantly associated with the incidence of other cardiotoxicities. In addition, other cardiotoxicities tended to be more frequent in patients over 70 years old.

✓ More careful follow-up may be necessary in patients with concomitant arrhythmias or higher baseline NT-pro BNP levels. In addition, age and baseline troponin T levels may be related to the frequency of

gure 2. Incidence of o	other cardiotoxicities in all eligible patients (N =	<u>199)</u> <u>Table 4. Breakdown of</u> other cardiotoxicities****	(n = 28)
)	(14.1%, 95%CI; 9.6-19.7%)	Events	n
	• 21 (13.3%) treated with ICI (n = 158)	Hypertension	12
	and 12 (22.6%) treated with AI (n = 53)	Arrhythmia	10
		Valve disease	1
		Coronary artery disease	1
		Other	8
	**** Pati	ents with multiple events we	ere includ

Variables	n
Age	
< 71 years old	99
$\geq$ 71 years old	100
Other anticancer drugs	
Without	52
With	142
LVEF*** (baseline)	
< 53%	10
≥ 53%	188
Troponin T***(baseline)	
< 0.015 ng/mL	157
≥ 0.015 ng/mL	42
NT-proBNP***(baseline)	
< 126 pg/mL	114
≥ 126 pg/mL	85
Concomitant arrhythmias	
Without	183
With	16

on showing a decrease in LVEF of at least 10% from baseline and a an 53%.

th no prior systemic therapy of less than two regimens an ICI- and/or AI-containing regimen within 28 days rs old and provided written consent

✓ With emergent cardiac disease nd/or Al

cardiac dysfunction, a power analysis indicated that 189 participants are nfidence interval width of ≤10% with ≥90% probability using the Clopper– Pearson method. Accounting for ineligible cases, 200 participants were targeted for enrollment.

Table 5. Univariate analysis of the incidence of other cardiotoxicities in all eligible patients (N = 199)

Other cardiotoxicities	Odds ratio	95% CI	p
9 (9.1%) 19 (19.0%)	Reference 2.336	0.943-6.213	0.065
8 (15.4%) 19 (13.4%)	Reference 0.850	0.326-2.412	0.815
1 (10.0%) 27 (14.4%)	Reference 1.507	0.195-68.556	1.000
19 (12.1%) 9 (21.4%)	Reference 1.973	0.719-5.092	0.137
9 (7.9%) 19 (22.4%)	Reference 3.338	1.344-8.905	0.007
21 (11.5%) 7 (43 8%)	Reference	1 686-20 093	0.002