

Usability and Acceptability of a Collaborative Agenda-Setting Intervention (CASI) to Promote Patient-Centered Communication in Ovarian Cancer Care



Rachel A. Pozzar^{1,2}, James A. Tulsky^{1,2,3}, Donna L. Berry⁴, Jeidy Batista^{1,2}, Paige Barwick¹, Charlotta Lindvall^{1,2}, Patricia Dykes^{1,3}, Michael Manni¹, Ursula Matulonis^{1,2}, Nadine J. McCleary^{1,2}, Alexi A. Wright^{1,2}

¹Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; ²Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ³Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; ⁴University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Background

- People with advanced ovarian cancer and their caregivers report unmet communication needs
- Greater patient-centered communication is associated with better health-related quality of life
- Few communication interventions have been optimized for integration into routine practice
- The Collaborative Agenda-Setting Intervention (CASI) elicits patients' and caregivers' needs and preferences through the patient portal, then communicates these to clinicians
- The CASI was developed using human-centered design and integrated into the electronic health record
- The purpose of this study was to assess the usability and acceptability of the CASI

Methods

- We purposively sampled English- or Spanish-speaking adults with advanced ovarian cancer, their caregivers, and clinicians from one gynecologic oncology program
- Participants used the CASI prototype during individual cognitive interviews, then completed a survey
- We assessed usability with the System Usability Scale (SUS) (range 0-100, scores ≥68.0 indicate above average usability)
- We measured acceptability and appropriateness with the Acceptability of Intervention Measure (AIM) and Intervention Appropriateness Measure (IAM) (range 1-5, higher scores indicate greater acceptability and appropriateness)
- Interviews were audio recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using conventional content analysis
- Survey data were analyzed using descriptive statistics

Results

- Participants included 5 patients; 5 clinicians; and 4 caregivers (2 adult children, 1 spouse, and 1 friend)
- Self-identified as white, non-Hispanic (7/14), Asian-American (2/14), Native American (1/14); Hispanic (4/14); female (11/14)
- Patients and caregivers reported annual incomes <\$24,000 (2/9),
 \$45,000-74,999 (1/9), \$75,000-\$119,000 (2/9), and ≥\$120,000 (1/9)
 (3/9 declined to report)
- Patients and caregivers did not graduate high school (2/9); graduated high school (1/9) or college (3/9); or had post-graduate degrees (2/9) (3/9 declined to report)

egrees (2/9) (3/9 declined to report)

Mean Usability Mean Acceptability Mean Appropriateness

71.0

Out of 100; *SD* = 16.6

Out of 5; *SD* = 1.1

Out of 5; *SD* = 0.9

Patient or caregiver completes CASI questionnaire about preferences and concerns in patient portal

Tailored CASI output

Patient or caregiver

Question prompt

lists

Educational materials

Navigation to supportive care

Clinician

Communication and resource guidance

Flowsheet of CASI responses

Smart phrase for clinic visit note

Figure 1. Collaborative Agenda-Setting Intervention (CASI) schema

Results

The fact that I can put it into my note is fantastic...and to know ahead of time, I think that is terrific.



There were questions that may be very important... to make you feel less bad talking about cancer.



Even though some of those things I will send to social work...I still like to know what is going on at my patient's house.

If I am already seeing somebody [e.g., a counselor], there is no need to double back with them.

Conclusions

- A diverse group of stakeholders rated the CASI as having above-average usability and moderately high acceptability and appropriateness
- We eliminated redundant items, added an option to opt out of navigation, and refined options for communication preferences in response to participant feedback
- We are conducting a pilot randomized controlled trial to assess the feasibility of an efficacy trial comparing the CASI to usual care







Contact: rachel_pozzar@dfci.harvard.edu