

Clinical and nonclinical factors associated with palliative-only care in patients with advanced head and neck cancer

Dina K Abouelella,¹ Meng Chen,^{1,2} Melissa C White,³ Rong Jiang,⁴ Babatunde Oluwole,⁵ Eric Adjei Boakye,⁶ Tammara L Watts,² Nosayaba Osazuwa-Peters^{2,4}

¹ Department of Head and Neck Surgery & Communication Sciences Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA.; ³ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA.; ³ Department of Population Health Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ⁵ Prisma Health, Greer, South Carolina, USA; ⁶ Henry Ford Health, Detroit, Michigan, USA;

OBJECTIVES

- **Background:** 2/3 head and neck cancer (HNC) have advanced-stage disease, with many eligible for palliative care.
- Only approximately 20% of patients receive palliative care after their HNC diagnosis.
- Gaps: The factors that affect access to palliative care for these individuals are not well understood.
- Objectives: This study investigates the sociodemographic and clinical variables associated with receipt of only palliative care among patients with HNC as well as the effect of Palliative care only receipt on survival odds.

MATERIALS & METHODS

- Data source: The National Cancer Database (NCDB), a retrospective cohort between 2004 and 2020.
- Study sample: adult individuals diagnosed with advanced-stage (stages III and IV) HNC between 2004 and 2020.
- Outcome: Receipt of only palliative care. Independent variables included race/ethnicity, sex, distance from the treatment facility, health insurance status, and comorbidity burden.
- Analyses: Logistic regression analyses for the total study sample and cancer subset, weighting for complex survey sampling and adjusting for sociodemographic variables. Survival analysis was conducted using data from 2004 to 2019 only, as survival data is not available for 2020. Cox regression was used to estimate hazard ratios, controlling for sociodemographic variables.

Palliative Care Only Receipt	Overall: N(%)	Yes: N(%)	No/Unknown: N(%)	
Distance*				Pred
Short	227,078 (51.57%)	4,964 (1.13%)	222,114 (50.44%)	Palli
Intermediate Long	153,616 (34.89%) 59,616 (13.54%)	2,657 (0.60%) 932 (0.21%)	150,959 (34.28%) 58,684 (13.33%)	Dist
Clinical Stage*	30,010 (10.0170)	002 (0.2170)	00,001 (10.0070)	
Early	187,683 (37.72%)	951 (0.19%)	186,732 (37.52%)	SI
Late	309,950 (62.28%)	8,955 (1.80%)	300,995 (60.49%)	In
Urbanacity*	200 005 (02 570/)	7 727 (4 040/)	200 240 (00 000/)	Lo
Metro Urban	396,985 (82.57%) 74,281 (15.45%)	7,737 (1.61%) 1,743 (0.36%)	389,248 (80.96%) 72,538 (15.09%)	Clin
Rural	7 1,201 (10.1070)	1,7 10 (0.0070)	72,000 (10.0070)	Ea
Gender*				La
Female	128,136 (25.75%)	2,652 (0.53%)	125,484 (25.22%)	
Male Race/ethnicity*	369,497 (74.25%)	7,254 (1.46%)	362,243 (72.79%)	Urba
Non-Hispanic White	393,229 (82.38%)	7,372 (1.54%)	385,857 (80.83%)	М
Non-Hispanic Black	47,091 (9.87%)	1,488 (0.31%)	45,603 (9.55%)	Uı
Hispanic	20,611 (4.32%)	366 (0.08%)	20,245 (4.24%)	R
Non-Hispanic Other	13,815 (2.89%)	301 (0.06%)	13,514 (2.83%)	Gen
Insurance coverage* Private insurance	188,153 (37.81%)	2,210 (0.44%)	185,943 (37.37%)	Fe
Medicaid	50,909 (10.23%)	1,784 (0.36%)	49,125 (9.87%)	
Medicare	224,648 (45.14%)	5,149 (1.03%)	219,499 (44.11%)	M
Not Insured	22,637 (4.55%)	627 (0.13%)	22,010 (4.42%)	Rac
Comorbidity Score*	277 244 (75 040()	0.500 (4.000()	270 675 (74 400/)	N
Score 0 Score 1	377,244 (75.81%) 83,738 (16.83%)	6,569 (1.32%) 2,125 (0.43%)	370,675 (74.49%) 81,613 (16.40%)	N
Score 2	23,735 (4.77%)	766 (0.15%)	22,969 (4.62%)	Н
Score 3+	12,916 (2.60%)	446 (0.09%)	12,470 (2.51%)	N
Facility type			04-0-0(440-04)	420
Academic Non Academic	222,063 (45.55%) 265,410 (54.45%)	4,404 (0.90%) 5,391 (1.11%)	217,659 (44.65%) 260,019 (53.34%)	Insu
Educational Attainment*	200,410 (04.4070)	3,331 (1.1170)	200,010 (00.0470)	Pi
>=21%	83,064 (18.82%)	1,929 (0.44%)	81,135 (18.39%)	M
13%-20.9%	121,156 (27.46%)	2,510 (0.57%)	118,646 (26.89%)	М
7%-12.9% <7%	141,044 (31.96%)	2,692 (0.61%)	138,352 (31.35%)	N
Facility Location*	96,014 (21.76%)	1,441 (0.33%)	94,573 (21.43%)	Con
South Atlantic	111,845 (22.94%)	2,076 (0.43%)	109,769 (22.52%)	S
Mid-Atlantic	70,378 (14.44%)	1,778 (0.36%)	68,600 (14.07%)	
New England	27,692 (5.68%)	877 (0.18%)	26,815 (5.50%)	S
East North Central East South Central	88,159 (18.08%) 39,685 (8.14%)	1,713 (0.35%) 842 (0.17%)	86,446 (17.73%) 38,843 (7.97%)	S
West North Central	40,083 (8.22%)	804 (0.16%)	39,279 (8.06%)	S
West South Central	39,050 (8.01%)	625 (0.13%)	38,425 (7.88%)	Faci
Mountain	19,754 (4.05%)	367 (0.08%)	19,387 (3.98%)	A
Pacific	50,827 (10.43%)	713 (0.15%)	50,114 (10.28%)	N
Table 1. Baseline cha	racteristics of the	e NCDB coho	ort from 2004 to	Edu
2020 (N=497,633). *	P value < 0.05			
	Product-Limit Surv	vival Estimates		>=
	With Number of Su			13
1.0 -			+ Censored	79
			Logrank p=0.5084	<
0.8 –				Faci
557				So
A HILL				
Survival Probability				M
- L				N
				E
ี	1			E
0.2 –		THE PARTY OF THE P		W
M.M.		White House and the same		W
24/35660		WHAT HE	*	M
2019000			The state of the s	IVI
0.0 –				-
1 448900 17	70653 53324 945 322	6470 55	0	Pa
1 448900 17			8(29)	Pa
1 448900 17 2 8639	945 322	15	0	Pr Figur

Predictors		OR (95% CI)	P value		HR (95% CI)	P value
Palliative Care Only	Ţ			HH.	0.99 (0.93, 1.04)	0.6416
Distance	i i			į		
Short		Reference			Reference	
Intermediate	H	0.79 (0.75, 0.84)	<.0001	•	1.12 (1.11, 1.13)	<.0001
Long	EM .	0.64 (0.59, 0.70)	<.0001		1.23 (1.21, 1.25)	<.0001
Clinical Stage	i i			i I		
Early	1	Reference		1	Reference	
Late	1	5.96 (5.52, 6.43)	<.0001	•	0.87 (0.85, 0.88)	<.0001
Urbanacity	1			1		
Metro	į	Reference		1	Reference	
Urban	HH	1.33 (1.24, 1.42)	<.0001		0.92 (0.91, 0.94)	<.0001
Rural		1.23 (1.04, 1.46)	0.0159	M	0.90 (0.87, 0.94)	<.0001
Gender	i I			i I		
Female	į	Reference			Reference	
Male	(e) I	0.89 (0.85, 0.94)	<.0001	•	1.03 (1.02 1.05)	<.0001
Race/ethnicity	1	•		1	•	
Non-Hispanic White	i I	Reference		i i		
Non-Hispanic Black	HH	1.29 (1.21, 1.38)	<.0001	•	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)	0.0003
Hispanic	HH	0.80 (0.71, 0.90)	0.0003		1.27 (1.24, 1.30)	<.0001
Non-Hispanic Other	H=H	1.23 (1.09, 1.40)	0.0013	×	1.31 (1.28, 1.34)	
Insurance Coverage	i	, , ,		i	, , ,	
Private Insurance	!	Reference			Reference	
Medicaid	HH	2.28 (2.11, 2.45)	<.0001		1.24 (1.22, 1.26)	<.0001
Medicare	HH	1.92 (1.81, 2.04)	<.0001	•	1.11 (1.09, 1.12)	
Not Insured	H=-1	2.10 (1.90, 2.32)	<.0001	•	0.96 (0.94, 0,98)	
Comorbidity Score		,			()	
Score 0	1	Reference		1	Reference	
Score 1	int.	1.29 (1.22, 1.36)	<.0001		1.04 (1.03, 1.06)	<.0001
Score 2	HH-1	1.63 (1.50, 1.78)			1.22 (1.19,1.25)	<.0001
Score 3+	H=+1	1.64 (1.47, 1.83)		M	1.64 (1.58, 1.69)	<.0001
Facility Type	1	, , ,		1	, , ,	
Academic	1	Reference		1		
Non Academic	uli .	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)	0.6222	1 1		
Educational Attainment	1	(l I		
>=21%	1	Reference		I I	Reference	
13%-20.9%	ent.	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.4962	•	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.2231
7%-12.9%	eds.	0.98 (0.91,1.05)	0.4976		1.04 (1.02, 1.05)	<.0001
<7%	led	0.81 (0.75, 0.88)	<.0001		1.05 (1.04, 0.88)	
Facility Location		, (,,		I I	(110 (110 1, 010 0)	
South Atlantic	Î	Reference		i	Reference	
Mid-Atlantic	HH	1.43 (1.33, 1.53)	<.0001		1.12 (1.11, 1.14)	<.0001
New England	HH	1.37 (1.24, 1.51)	<.0001	ja .	1.05 (1.03, 1.07)	<.0001
East North Central	Held	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)	0.4231		1.06 (1.04, 1.07)	
East South Central	HH	1.01 (0.92,1.11)	0.7920	÷	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.7467
West North Central	1444	1.14 (1.04,1.26)	0.0061	No.	1.06 (1.04, 1.08)	<.0001
West South Central	HH	0.87 (0.79, 0.96)	0.0056	w.	1.12 (1.10, 1.14)	<.0001
Mountain	HH-1	1.02 (0.89, 1.16)	0.8193	M.	1.15 (1.12, 1.18)	<.0001
Pacific	104	0.78 (0.72, 0.86)	<.0001		1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	

Figure 2. Forest Plot of adjusted odds ratio (aOR) estimates and their confidence intervals (CI) for the association between sociodemographic characteristics and receipt of palliative care only, and of of adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) estimates and their confidence intervals (CI) to determine the effect of receipt of palliative care only on survival odds.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics (Table 1)

A total of 497633 patients with HNC were included in our cohort,
 9,906 received only palliative care, forming our analytic cohort.

Variables associated with palliative-only care (Figure 1 & 2)

- Male patients had a lower odds of receiving palliative care only (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.89; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.85, 0.94).
- Non-Hispanic Black (NH Black) patients had a higher odds of receiving only palliative care, (aOR = 1.29; 95% CI: 1.21, 1.38), compared to Non-Hispanic White (NH White) patients.
- Patients who were uninsured (aOR = 2.10; 95% CI:1.80, 2.32), on Medicaid (aOR = 2.28; 95% CI: 2.11, 2.45), or Medicare/other government insurance (aOR = 1.92; 95% CI: 1.81, 2.04) had a higher odds of receiving only palliative care compared to those with private insurance/managed care.
- Comorbidity burden(Score 3+) was associated with higher the odds of receiving only palliative care only (aOR = 1.64; 95% CI: 1.47,1.83), as well as higher hazard ratios (aHR = 1.64; 95% CI: 1.58, 1.69) compared to those with a low comorbidity burden.
- Patients presenting with a late stage disease were 5.96 times more likely to receive palliative care only compared yo those with early stage disease (aOR = 5.96; 95% CI: 5.52, 6.43).
- Non Hispanic Black (aHR = 1.03; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.05), Hispanic (aHR = 1.27; 95% CI: 1.24, 1.30) and Non Hispanic Other (aHR = 1.31; 95% CI: 1.28, 1.34) patients all had higher hazard ratios/less survival odds compared to Non Hispanic White Patients.

CONCLUSIONS

- Cancer survivors with depression are significantly more likely to experience high-impact chronic pain compared to those without depression.
- Prioritizing mental health in cancer pain management is essential to developing care strategies aimed at enhancing the quality of life of cancer survivors.