A randomized, double-blind Phase 3 study of vorasidenib versus placebo in patients with mutant IDH1/2 diffuse glioma (INDIGO): analysis of health-related quality of life, neurocognition and seizures

Katherine B. Peters,¹ Ingo K. Mellinghoff,² Martin J. van den Bent,³ Deborah T. Blumenthal,⁴ Mehdi Touat,⁵ Jennifer Clarke,⁶ Joe Mendez,⁷ Shlomit Yust-Katz,⁸ Warren Mason,⁹ François Ducray,¹⁰ Yoshie Umemura,¹¹ Burt Nabors,¹² Matthias Holdhoff,¹³ Andreas F. Hottinger, 14 Yoshiki Arakawa, 15 Juan M. Sepúlveda, 16 Wolfgang Wick, 17 Riccardo Soffietti,¹⁸ Andrew Bottomley,¹⁹ Dan Zhao,²⁰ Shuchi S. Pandya,²⁰ Islam Hassan,²⁰ Lori Steelman,²⁰ Patrick Y. Wen,²¹ Timothy F. Cloughesy²

¹Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA; ²Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY, USA; ³Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands; ⁴Tel Aviv Medical Center, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel ^sPitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP) Sorbonne Université, Paris, France; [«]University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁷Huntsman Cancer Institute at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; [®]Davidoff Cancer Center at Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel and Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁹Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁰Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, Lyon, France; "University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ¹²University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA; ¹³Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹⁴University Hospital of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; 15Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; 16Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre Madrid, Spain; ¹⁷Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ¹⁸University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ¹⁹Bottomley Consultants, Belgium; ²⁰Servier Pharmaceuticals, Boston, MA, USA; ²¹Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA ²²University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

KEY MESSAGES



- In the INDIGO study, patients had high HRQoL and neurocognitive scores in both arms at baseline
- Patient-reported HRQoL was preserved, as measured by the FACT-Br questionnaire, in both arms
- No meaningful changes in neurocognitive function were observed with vorasidenib or placebo
- The clinical benefit of treatment with vorasidenib in patients with mIDH1/2 adult-type diffuse glioma, which was well tolerated while showing improvements in PFS and TTNI, is further supported by the preservation of patient-reported HRQoL and neurocognition, and the maintenance of seizure control

FACT-Br, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Brain; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mIDH1/2, mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 or 2; PFS, progression-free survival; TTNI, time to next intervention

PATIENT SUMMARY

- The INDIGO study is the first clinical trial with positive results for a potential treatment in glioma that has abnormal IDH genes (a specific change in their DNA)
- Patients had undergone surgery but had not received any other treatment, and were randomly given either vorasidenib or placebo (a lookalike drug that contains no medicine)
- The average number of months without the cancer worsening was more than twice as long when patients took vorasidenib instead of placebo, and side effects were generally manageable¹
- The results reported here show that patients had good quality of life at the beginning of the study, and this was preserved while taking vorasidenib, with no difference seen when compared with placebo
- Memory and thinking skills also tended to remain stable with vorasidenib
- The number of patients who needed to increase their anti-seizure medication was low and similar for patients who took vorasidenib or placebo
- These findings may help patients to understand what might be expected during vorasidenib treatment

IDH, isocitrate dehydrogenase



Scan the QR codes to access a copy of this poster and the Supplement. Please do not reuse these materials without permission of the authors

katherine.peters@duke.edu

Presented at the MASCC/AFSOS/ISOO 2024 Annual Meeting; 27–29 June 2024; Lille, France

INTRODUCTION

- Mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 or 2 (mIDH1/2) occur in most ad diffuse gliomas^{2,3}
- Current treatment options are not curative and can contribute to acute long-term neurocognitive impairment or deterioration^{4,5}
- Vorasidenib is an oral, brain penetrant, dual inhibitor of mIDH1/2⁶
- The randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 3 INDIGO study (NCT0416490) evaluated vorasidenib in patients with mIDH1/2 adult-type diffuse glioma previously treated with surgery only (Supplementary Figure S1)¹
- Proaression-free survival (PFS) was 27.7 months in the vorasidenib group, c with 11.1 months in the placebo group (hazard ratio [HR], 0.39; 95% confid interval [CI], 0.27-0.56; P=0.000000067)¹
- Time to next intervention (TTNI) was also significantly improved in the vorasidenib group (HR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.15– 0.43; P=0.000000019)
- Adverse events (AEs) in the vorasidenib group were mainly grade 1 or the most common grade ≥3 AE was an increased alanine aminotrans level (9.6%)
- Patients with mIDH1/2 gliomas are prone to recurrent seizures, which are to manage⁸
- With a median age of ~40 years at diagnosis,⁹ it is vital that cognitive fundamentation health-related quality of life (HRQoL) are maintained, and symptomatic burden controlled in these young patients

C10

115

C10

115

109

137

131

• Here, we report HRQoL, neurocognitive function and seizure activity from the INDIGO study

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

Treatment with vorasidenib did not affect HRQoL when assessed using the FACT-Br total score



Data cut-off: 6 September 2022. 3L, baseline; SD, standard deviation

Treatment with vorasidenib did not affect brain cancer-specific HRQoL



Data cut-off: 6 September 2022.

- FACT-Br completion rates were high (≥75%) in both arms at BL and all visits through the median treatment duration of 14.2 months (Supplementary Figure S2)
- In addition to FACT-Br total score and BrCS, there were consistent high scores for the FWB subscale in both the vorasidenib and placebo arms (Supplementary Figure S3
- FACT-Br, BrCS and FWB subscale scores were maintained at every visit up to C13 in both the vorasidenib and placebo arms, with mean change from BL scores being small (Supplementary Figure S4)

References

- 1. Mellinghoff IK et al. N Engl J Med 2023;389:589-601.
- 2. Yan H et al. N Engl J Med 2009;360:765-73.
- 3. Hartmann C et al. Acta Neuropathol 2009; 118:469-74.

METHODS

lult-type	SECONDARY ENDPOINT		ASSESSMENT SCHED	ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE		
and	(Of 2		Questionnaire/test	Description/cognitive domain	Timepoints	
	79	HRQOL: assessed by FACI-Br questionnaire	FACT-Br	BrCS, EWB, FWB, PWB,	C1D1, C2D1, C3D1, C4D1,	
)1)	EXPLORATORY ENDPOINTS			PGI-C/PGI-S/PGI-F/EQ-5D*	then every 3 months until EOI	
ג	-		ISLT	Verbal learning		
compared dence	{o}}	Neurocognitive function: assessed by a validated battery of cognitive performance	DET	Psychomotor function		
		Verbal learningWorking memory	IDN	Attention	C1D1, then every 3 months until EOT	
r 2, and ferase		Attention	ONB	Working memory		
		 Psychomotor function Executive function	GMLT	Executive function		
complex		Seizures: including frequency and severity; changes in	Number an	Number and severity [†] of seizures were self-reported by patients		
ction and		anti-seizure medications	during each cycle of treatment using a diary			

*EWB, FWB, PWB, PGI-C, PGI-S, PGI-F and EQ-5D data are not presented here; †Severity of seizures data are not presented here. BrCS, brain cancer subscale; C, cycle; D, day; DET, detection; EOT, end of treatment; EQ-5D, EuroQol 5-Dimension 5-Level questionnaire; EWB, emotional wellbeing; FACT-Br, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Brain; FWB, functional wellbeing; GMLT, groton maze learning; IDN, identification; ISLT, international shopping list; ONB, one back; PerfO, performance outcome; PGI, Patient Global Impression; PGI-C, PGI of Frequency; PGI-S, PGI of Severity; PRO, patient-reported outcome; PWB, physical wellbeing

Patients performed well in a series of objective neurocognitive tests and there was no evidence of neurological deterioration or decline in either arm Verbal learnin Vorasidenib Placebo C13 C10 (orasidenib (N=168) 141 113 Placebo (N=163) Higher scores indicate better HRQoL Executive function FACT-Br total score is the sum of all subscales C13 with a range of 0–200 points C13 C4 144 C10 C.7 Vorasidenib (N=168) 142 Placebo (N=163) 130 Attentio 2.8 2.7 ₽₽ 2.6 🛑 Vorasidenib Placebo C10 113 107 C13 146 nib (N=168) 136 124 147 Placebo (N=163) Higher scores ndicate working memor better HRQoL 3.2 -3.1 3.0 The BrCS is a 2.9 23-item subscale t d C13 2.8 with a range of 27 0–92 points 78 72 C13 C4 C10 113 107 145 Vorasidenib (N=168) n 138 148 Placebo (N=163) n 143 124 **Psychomotor function** 2.8 2.7 2.6 te d 2.5 2.4

There was negligible difference in seizure frequency between the two arms

- 30 days



Data cut-off: 6 September 2022 *Number of seizures was plotted against time based on a non-parametric locally estimated scatterplot smoothing regression. Seizure activity was assessed using a patient-reported diary recording the number of seizures during each treatment cycle.

added on treatment*

Data cut-off: 6 September 2022. *N is the number of anti-seizure medications; anti-seizure medication added on treatment was defined as medication initiated after the first dose of either vorasidenib or placebo, and before crossover; [†]Anti-seizure medication at BL was defined as medication initiated prior to the first dose of either vorasidenib or placebo, and ended on or after the first dose of either vorasidenib or placebo.

- 4. Gabel N et al. Front Neurol 2019;212:1–8.
- 5. Lawrie TA et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019;8:CD013047.
- 6. Mellinghoff IK et al. Nat Med 2023;29:615-22.
- 7. Mellinghoff IK et al. J Clin Oncol 2023;41 (Suppl.17):LBA1.
- 8. Berntsson SG et al. J Neurol 2018;265:1432–42.

142

Placebo (N=163) n

Data cut-off: 6 September 2022.

9. Antonelli M, Poliani PL. Pathologica 2022; 114:397-409.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the principal investigators, their institutions and, most importantly, the patients who took part in this study This study was sponsored by Servier.

Medical editorial assistance was provided by Debbi Gorman, PhD, at Cogent (an AMICULUM agency), funded by Servier.



• At BL, 20 (12%) patients in each arm self-reported at least one seizure in the prior

• Patients reporting at least one seizure per cycle up to C13 ranged from: - Eight to 24 patients on vorasidenib

10 to 24 patients on placebo

The number of patients needing two or more additional anti-seizure medications was small in both groups

• Changes in anti-seizure medication use is indicative of seizure control, and adding two or more anti-seizure medications is clinically relevant for seizure management Placebo



Number of anti-seizure medications at BL[†]

Disclosures

Katherine B. Peters reports grant/research support from BioMimetix, Novocure Inc., NuVox Therapeutics, Ono Pharmaceutical, Servier Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Varian, and consultant fees from Sapience and Servier Pharmaceuticals LLC.