PAIRING ECOLOGICAL MOMENTARY ASSESSMENTS WITH MOBILE COGNITIVE TESTS TO STUDY CANCER-RELATED COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENTS

Ashley M. Henneghan, PhD, RN, FAAN¹*; Emily M. Paolillo, PhD²; Kathleen Van Dyk, PhD³; Rebecca Tasker, BA¹; Raeanne C. Moore, PhD⁴

*Presenting Author

¹The University of Texas at Austin School of Nursing

²University of California San Francisco

³University of California Los Angeles ⁴University of California San Diego

Cognitive Symtpoms (person-mean centered)

Figure 2. Within-person

relationship between cognitive

Introduction:

Ecological momentary assessments paired with mobile cognitive tests ("EMCTs") offers a novel approach to studying cancer-related cognitive impairments (CRCI) that captures individual's cognitive variability better than single lab-based assessments. It is unknown which EMCTs may be most informative in CRCI research and practice. The objective of this study is to determine which EMCT measures correlate with commonly used lab-based CRCI measures and examine within-person relationships between subjective and objective cognitive EMCTs.

Methods:

We prospectively enrolled 30 breast cancer survivors within 5 years of completing treatment. Baseline clinical assessments included subjective (FACT-Cog) and objective (standardized test battery) cognitive function. Then EMCT smartphone protocols were administered once every other day for 8 weeks. Each EMCT included a 1-item rating for cognitive symptoms and 4 cognitive tests (Memory Matrix, Color Trick, Hand Swype, and Quick Tap 1). Person-specific means and root mean square of successive differences (within-person variability) were calculated for all EMCTs. Pearson's correlations were calculated for baseline clinical assessments and EMCTs. Linear mixed effects models were used to determine within-person associations between subjective and objective cognitive EMCTs.

	Cog	Baseline Cognitive Test Battery Composite
Memory Matrix mean	.36	0.58***
Memory Matrix rmssd	02	05
Color Trick reaction time mean	44*	-0.47**
Color Trick reaction time rmssd	34	-0.40*
Hand Swype reaction time mean	22	37*
Hand Swype reaction time rmssd	27	17
Quick Tap 1 reaction time mean	53**	45*
Quick Tap 1 reaction time rmssd	39*	35
N-back score mean	.00	.61***
N-back score rmssd	.21	.13
Cognitive symptoms mean	77***	15
Cognitive symptoms rmssd	53**	12

Findings: Table 2. Linear Mixed Effects Models of Person-Specific Objective **Cognitive EMCT** Std.Err. Outcome Predictor **Estimate** p.value 994.702 1533.571 Color Trick (Intercept) 0.522 Reaction Time 73.727 Same-day cognitive 232.487 *0.002 symptoms (person-mean centered) 56.623 79.268 0.476 Previous-day cognitive symptoms (person-mean centered) Average cognitive 175.9 93.129 0.071 Cognitive Symtpoms (person-mean centered) symptoms -30.68 8.434 Study day Figure 1. Within-person relationship between cognitive symptoms and 16.527 34.202 0.05 Age (vrs) executive function 0.67 -27.542 63.805 Education (yrs) 329.164 0.069 Quick Tap 1 (Intercept) 173.691 **Reaction Time** *0.007 Same-day cognitive 10.672 3.949 symptoms (person-mean centered) Previous-day cognitive -1.202 4.211 0.776 symptoms (person-mean centered) 10.755 0.264 12.281 Average cognitive symptoms -0.996 0.407 0.015 Study day

EMCTs for reaction time significantly correlated with both subjective and objective CRCI, EMCTs for memory correlated with objective CRCI, and EMCTs of cognitive symptoms correlated with subjective CRCI (Table 1). Linear mixed models revealed that on days when participants reported worse same-day subjective cognitive function than usual, they performed significantly worse on tests of executive function ("Color Trick", Figure 1) and processing speed ("Quick Tap", Figure 2) after controlling for age, education, and study day (Table 2.).

4.15

-7.793

1.903

7.257

* p < .016 (Bonferroni corrected)

0.039

0.293

Age (yrs)

Education (yrs)



Conclusions:

We found that reaction time across different EMCTs may be sensitive to both subjective and objective measures of CRCI, and that with-person subjective and objective cognitive functioning were significantly correlated across time. These findings can be used to inform EMCT selection for future observational CRCI studies.



Acknowledgement and Funding Statement:

We acknowledge all the survivors and thrivers who graciously volunteered to participate in this study. This research was supported by the National Institute for Nursing Research of the National Institutes of Health under award number R21NR020497.