



Cancer Center Amsterdam

Treatment of opioid-induced constipation in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis



K.R.J. Kistemaker^{1,2,3} (k.kistemaker@amsterdamumc.nl);

F. Sijani¹, D.J. Brinkman^{2,4}, A. de Graeff⁵, G.L. Burchell⁶, M.A.H. Steegers^{2,3*}, L. van Zuylen^{1,3*}

Background			Con	clusions		Mean differen IV, Random, 9	nce 95% Cl	
•	Cancer-related pain often requires opioid treatment, with opioid-induced constipation (OIC) as a prevalent gastrointestinal side-effect.	•	Naldemedine, methylnali of naloxone with oxycodo cancer patients.	rexone and a fixed combination one effectively treat OIC in	Ahmedzai (2012) Dupoiron (2017) Total (95% CI)	-50 -25 0 Favours OXN Fav	25 50 vours OXY PR	-11.43 [-16.79, -6.07] -16.24 [21.75, -10.73] -13.79 [-18.50, -9.08]
•	For first-line treatment of OIC laxatives are available, whereas peripherally acting μ -opioid receptor antagonists (e.g. methylnaltrexone, naldemedine) and naloxone in a fixed	•	However, their effect has not been compared to first- line laxatives.		Figure 1. Forest plot of the difference in change in Bowel Function Index between oxycodone/naloxone en oxycodone prolonged release (PR). Abbreviations: OXN: oxycodone/naloxone; OXY PR: oxycodone prolonged release.			
	combination with oxycodone are available for second-line treatment.	•	 More studies comparing laxatives with each other and with opioid antagonists are necessary before recommendations for clinical practice can be made. 		Katakami, Oda (20 Katakami, Harada Total (95% CI)	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI (2017) 2.07 [1.44, 2.98] 2.07 [1.53, 2.80] 2.07 [1.64, 2.6*	2.07 [1.44, 2.98] 2.07 [1.53, 2.80] 2.07 [1.64, 2.61]	
•	Aim: to summarize the scientific evidence on pharmacological strategies for the treatment of OIC in cancer patients.				Favours placebo Favours naldemedine			
	Methods		Results			Figure 2. Forest plot demonstrating the response rate of naldemedine 0.2 mg compared to placebo.		
•	A systematic search in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science and the Cochrane Library was completed up to 22 October 2022.		12 trials (10 RCTs, 2 coho	ort studies)	Bull (2015) Slatkin (20 Thomas (20 Total (95 %	Risk Ratio M-H, Random	n, 95% Cl	3.98 [2.63, 6.03] 4.58 [2.22, 9.46] 3.12 [1.71, 5.70] 3.83 [2.81, 5.22]
•	Both randomized and non-randomized studies with OIC and adverse events as an outcome.		1473 patients		10111 (70%	GO1 01 Favours placebo Favo	10 100 purs methylnal	trexone
•	Studies with opioid antagonists were used for a meta-analysis.		No significant di and polyethylen docusate, respe	fferences between sennosides e glycol and sennosides with ctively.	Figure 3. Forest pl compared to place	ot demonstrating the response rate of methylnaltrexo bo.		lethylnaltrexone

¹ Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Medical Oncology, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;
 ² Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Anesthesiology, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;
 ³ Cancer Center Amsterdam, Treatment and Quality of Life, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;

⁴ Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Internal Medicine, Section Pharmacotherapy, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;

⁵ Department of Medical Oncology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Academic Hospice Demeter, de Bilt, The Netherlands; ⁶ Medical Library, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands;

* These authors contributed equally.