

Efficacy of different varieties of medical cannabis in relieving symptoms

Bo Angela Wan, MD(C)¹, Patrick Diaz, PhD(C)¹, Alexia Blake, MSc², Stephanie Chan, BSc(C)¹, Amiti Wolt, BA², Pearl Zaki, BSc(C)¹, Lying Zhang, PhD¹, Marissa Slaven, MD³, Erynn Shaw, MD³, Carlo DeAngelis, PharmD¹, Henry Lam, MLS¹, Vithusha Ganesh, MD(C)¹, Leila Malek, BSc(Hons)¹, Edward Chow, MBBS¹, Shannon O'Hearn, MSc²



¹Odette Cancer Centre, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ²MedReleaf, Markham, Ontario, Canada; ³Juravinski Cancer Centre, Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada



Introduction

- Traditionally, cultivars of *Cannabis sativa* L. have been divided into sub-species based on their morphological properties, metabolic profile, and geographical origin. I
- As cannabinoid compounds like tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) are thought to be primarily responsible for the physiological effects of cannabis, unique strain profiles may provide different therapeutic benefits suitable for managing different symptoms and conditions.
- Objectives:** To assess the efficacy of different cannabis varieties in patients. These results will allow physicians to better recommend different medical cannabis products to future patients more strategically to effectively meet their medical needs?

Materials and Methods

- Patients registered with a Canadian licensed cannabis provider were invited to complete a dynamic voluntary online survey upon registration (baseline), and at 4- and 10-month follow-up intervals.
- Information pertaining to current medical conditions, symptoms, and quality of life were collected.

Baseline

- The survey collected demographic information and information pertaining to current medical conditions and symptoms.

Follow-up

- Patients reported any changes they had experienced to their symptoms, conditions, or QOL.
- Patients reported which varieties of medical cannabis they attributed these changes to, if any.

Data analysis

- Cannabis varieties were categorized based on their approximate *sativa* and *indica* composition (Table 1).
- Patients who completed surveys between January 2015 and January 2017 were included in this analysis.
- Analysis was conducted including only patients who specified which medical cannabis varieties they used at follow-up.

| Strain | Patients found most beneficial, n (%) | Composition | % THC | % CBD |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Midnight ^{MR} | 122 (18.7%) | sativa-leaning | 8-11% | 11-14% |
| Avideke ^{MR} | 89 (13.7%) | indica-leaning | 0.1-0.8% | 15-18% |
| Sedamen ^{MR} | 61 (9.4%) | indica-dominant | 21-24% | 0 |
| Luminarium ^{MR} | 57 (8.8%) | sativa-dominant | 25-28% | 0 |
| Cognitiva ^{MR} | 51 (7.8%) | sativa-leaning | 15-18% | 0 |

Table 1: Properties of 5 most popular cannabis strains perceived to be most beneficial overall

Results

- 837 patients provided information about their experience with medical cannabis at 4-month follow-up.
- For pain reduction at 4-months, *Midnight^{MR}*, *Sedamen^{MR}*, and *Avideke^{MR}* were most effective.
- Luminarium^{MR}* (very *sativa*-dominant, 25-28% THC, 0% CBD) was most effective for managing both anxiety disorder (30.4%) and depression (35.5%).
- Midnight^{MR}* and *Sedamen^{MR}* were also reported to be effective for depression and anxiety disorder.

| Condition/symptom/QOL (Total n) | 1st | 2nd | 3rd |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Anxiety disorder (69) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=21 (30.4%) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=19 (27.5%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=15 (21.7%) |
| Sleep disorder (53) | <i>Eran Almog^{MR}</i> n=17 (32.1%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=17 (32.1%) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=11 (20.8%) |
| Arthritis (46) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=18 (39.1%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=15 (32.6%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=13 (28.3%) |
| Anxiety (341) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=93 (27.3%) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=86 (25.2%) | <i>Cognitiva^{MR}</i> n=75 (22.0%) |
| Sleep problems (321) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=89 (27.7%) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=79 (24.6%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=65 (20.2%) |
| Depression (250) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=80 (32%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=63 (25.2%) | <i>Alaska^{MR}</i> n=50 (20%) |
| Headache (171) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=53 (31%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=40 (23.4%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=39 (22.8%) |
| Exhaustion (164) | <i>Stellio^{MR}</i> n=43 (26.2%) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=38 (23.2%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=29 (17.7%) |
| Appetite (302) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=75 (24.8%) | <i>Cognitiva^{MR}</i> n=73 (24.2%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=69 (22.8%) |
| Concentration (191) | <i>Cognitiva^{MR}</i> n=42 (22.0%) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=39 (20.4%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=37 (19.4%) |
| Bowel function (175) | <i>Midnight^{MR}</i> n=43 (24.6%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=37 (21.1%) | <i>Avideke^{MR}</i> n=36 (20.6%) |
| Sexual function (170) | <i>Luminarium^{MR}</i> n=45 (26.5%) | <i>Sedamen^{MR}</i> n=44 (25.9%) | <i>Cognitiva^{MR}</i> n=36 (21.2%) |

QOL: Quality of life

Table 2: Top 3 cannabis strains associated with improvement in conditions, symptoms, and QOL.

Conclusions

- Most frequently reported cannabis strains effective for managing commonly reported conditions or symptoms such as depression, anxiety disorder, and pain include *Midnight^{MR}*, *Luminarium^{MR}*, and *Sedamen^{MR}*.
- Cannabis strains most frequently reported to be effective for managing with pain include those with high CBD content such as *Avideke^{MR}* and *Midnight^{MR}*.
- Limited quality scientific evidence exists to help patients and clinicians with appropriate strain selection.
- By identifying patient-perceived efficacies of different cannabis varieties, this study provides a platform for clinicians to make accurate product recommendations to patients presenting a variety of symptoms for which cannabis may be indicated.
- These results will contribute to the strategic design of future efficacy studies.

References

- EISOHLY MA, SLADE D. Chemical constituents of marijuana: The complex mixture of natural cannabinoids. *Life Sci* 2005;78(5):539-48.
- GROENHERMEN F. The cannabinoid system—a brief review. *J Ind Hemp* 2004;9(2):87-92.
- BEN AMAR M. Cannabinoids in medicine: A review of their therapeutic potential. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2006;105(1-2):1-25.
- HAZEKAMP A, TEJKALOVÁ K, PAPADIMITRIOU S. Cannabis: From Cultivar to Chemovar II—A Metabolomics Approach to Cannabis Classification. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res* 2016;1(1):202-15.
- HAZEKAMP A, FISCHEDICK JT. Cannabis - from cultivar to chemovar. *Drug Test Anal* 2012;4:660-7.
- ZHORNITSKY S, POTVIN S. Cannabidiol in Humans—The Quest for Therapeutic Targets. *Pharmaceuticals* 2012;5:529-52.
- GROENHERMEN F, MÜLLER-VAHL K. The Therapeutic Potential of Cannabis and Cannabinoid. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2012;109(29-30):495-501.
- HILL K. Medical Marijuana for Treatment of Chronic Pain and Other Medical and Psychiatric Problems. *Jama* 2015;313(24):2474-83.

Acknowledgement: We thank the generous support of Bratty Family Fund, Michael and Karyn Goldstein Cancer Research Fund, Joey and Mary Furfari Cancer Research Fund, Pulezas Cancer Research Fund, Joseph and Silvana Melara Cancer Research Fund, and Ofelia Cancer Research Fund. This study was conducted in collaboration with MedReleaf.