# Age difference in pain and patient reported outcomes: a secondary analysis of the NCIC CTG SC.20 randomized trial

Ronald Chow<sup>1</sup>, Keyue Ding<sup>2</sup>, Ralph M Meyer<sup>3</sup>, Yvette M van der Linden<sup>4</sup>, Daniel Roos<sup>5</sup>, William Hartsell<sup>6</sup>, Peter Hoskin<sup>7</sup>, Jackson SY Wu<sup>8</sup>, Abdenour Nabid<sup>9</sup>, Manouk van Acht<sup>10</sup>, Gijs Wanders<sup>11</sup>, Scott Babington<sup>12</sup>, William F Demas<sup>13</sup>, Carolyn F Wilson<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca KS Wong<sup>14</sup>, Michael Brundage<sup>15</sup>, Liting Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Edward Chow<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sunnybrook Odette Cancer Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Canadian Cancer Trials Group, Cancer Research Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Juravinski Hospital and Cancer Centre and McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden and Radiotherapy Institute Friesland, Leeuwarden, Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Royal Adelaide Hospital, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

<sup>6</sup>Northwestern Medicine Central DuPage Hospital, Warrenville, USA

<sup>7</sup>Mount Vernon Hospital Cancer Centre, Middlesex, United Kingdom

<sup>8</sup>Tom Baker Cancer Centre, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

<sup>9</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada

<sup>10</sup>ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Radiation Oncology
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

11MAASTRO Clinic, Maastricht, Netherlands 12Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand

<sup>13</sup>Akron City Hospital, Northeast Ohio Medical University, Akron, USA

<sup>14</sup>Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Radiation Medicine Program, Ontario Canada Institute, Toronto, Canada <sup>15</sup>Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

## Objective

Explore age difference in response and patient reported outcomes in cancer patients with bone metastases undergoing reirradiation

### Methods

- Secondary analysis of the NCIC Clinical Trials Group Symptom Control Trial SC.20 (NCIC CTG SC.20), which was a non-blinded, randomized, controlled trial that investigated the efficacy and safety of single versus multiple fraction eirradiation
- Patients were accrued between January 2004 and May 2012 from nine countries
- Adults diagnosed with cancer reporting pain corresponding to sites of previously irradiated, radiologically confirmed bone metastases were eligible
- Scores of patients under/over 70
  years of age from the European
  Organization for Research and
  Treatment of Cancer (EORTC)
  Quality of Life Questionnaire Core
  30 (QLQ-C30) and Brief Pain
  Inventory (BPI) at baseline and 2
  months post-radiotherapy were
  analysed
- Subgroup analyses were carried out amongst responders and nonresponders
- Due to multiple comparisons, pvalues less than 0.01 were considered statistically significant

#### Conclusions

- Palliative re-irradiation provides equivalent rates of pain relief irrespective of the patient's age
- This study supports consideration of repeat palliative radiotherapy for younger and older cancer patients alike

#### Results

- 847 patients were analysed, with more than half of the cohort (59%) being male
- Younger and older patients had similar survival and response to radiation
- No significant difference in change in worst pain score or oral morphine equivalents (OME) intake from baseline to month 2
- Younger responders demonstrated slightly better improvement in BPI items of normal work and enjoyment of life compared to older responders; there was no

Domain

difference in terms of C30 scores

	<70 years, N (%)	≥70 years, N (%)
Gender		
Female	286 (52.5)	62 (20.5)
Male	259 (47.5)	240 (79.5)
Primary Cancer Site		
Breast	187 (34.3)	36 (11.9)
Lung	139 (25.5)	51 (16.9)
Prostate	78 (14.3)	151 (50.0)
Others	141(25.9)	64 (21.2)
Karnofsky performance status (KPS	5)	
50-60	106 (19.4)	75 (24.8)
70-80	306 (56.1)	160 (53.0)
90-100	128 (23.5)	61 (20.2)
Unknown	5 (0.9)	6 (2.0)
Worst pain score at baseline		
1 to 4	58 (10.6)	44 (14.6)
5 to 8	360 (66.1)	189 (62.6)
9 to 10	110 (20.2)	60 (19.9)
Unknown	17 (3.2)	9 (2.9)
Site of painful bone metastases		
Spine or Head and Neck	202 (37.1)	105 (34.8)
Pelvis/Hips	187 (34.3)	120 (39.7)
Upper or lower limbs	90 (16.5)	39 (12.9)
Superficial bones	65 (11.9)	38 (12.6)
Unknown	1 (0.2)	0 (0,0)
Baseline total daily oral morphine ed	quivalent (OME) (mg)	
N	524	292
Mean (SD)	157.4 (328.5)	93.94 (273.1)
Range	0-4130	0-4050
Baseline BPI and C30 scores		
BPI		
Domain <70 years	>70 x	

		-	71	y 4				
N			524	<del></del>	29	92		
Mean (SD)			157.4 (3	328.5)	93.94 (			
Range				0-4130		0-4050		
D !! DDT 1640								
Baseline BPI and C30	scores							
BPI Domain	<70 ye	9 840		≥70 years				
Domain	N N	Mean	SD	≥/oye N	Mean	SD	P value	
General activity	502	6.1	2.8	278	2.8	2.8	0.01	
Mood	504	4.7	2.9	277	2.9	2.9	0.03	
Walking ability		5.6	3.2	276	3.2	3.2	0.84	
Normal work		6.6	2.9	274	2.9	2.9	< 0.01	
Relationships with	504	3.6	3.0	278	3.0	3.0	< 0.01	
others								
Sleeping	505	4.7	3.2	278	3.2	3.2	< 0.01	
Enjoyment of life	504	5.6	3.1	277	3.1	3.1	< 0.01	
EORTC QLQ-C30								
Domain	<70			≥70			P value	
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD		
Physical functioning	387	50.0	23.6	186	50.4	23.6	0.82	
Role functioning	388	38.5	30.9	186	44.0	30.9	0.05	
Emotional	381	62.2	24.5	185	69.8	24.5	< 0.01	
functioning								
Cognitive functioning	387	70.9	25.8	186	74.3	25.8	0.13	
Social functioning	383	55.5	30.6	185	62.6	30.6	0.01	
Fatigue	384	56.4	25.9	186	51.6	25.9	0.04	
Nausea and vomiting	383	16.7	24.0	186	12.5	24.0	0.05	
Pain		71.5	22.7	185	65.9	22.7	0.01	
Dyspnea	388	29.4	31.5	186	28.0	31.5	0.61	
Sleep	384	45.1	34.4	186	37.3	34.4	0.01	
Appetite	383	35.2	34.6	185	30.8	34.6	0.16	
Constipation	383	30.5	33.8	185	30.5	33.8	0.97	
Diarrhea	383	9.2	20.7	186	7.7	20.7	0.41	
Financial	383	26.5	34.9	185	10.5	34.9	< 0.01	

Appetite	e 383	35.2	34.6	185	30.8	34.6	0.16
Constipation	n 383	30.5	33.8	185	30.5	33.8	0.97
Diarrhe		9.2	20.7	186	7.7	20.7	0.41
Financia	1 383	26.5	34.9	185	10.5	34.9	< 0.01
Global QOI	382	45.5	21.8	183	46.9	21.8	0.49
Changes in BPI score	s for res	ponders ar	nd non-res	ponders			
<70 years							
Domain	Respor	ıders		Non-re	sponders		P value
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	
General activity	137	-3.0	3.3	197	-0.7	3.3	< 0.01
Mood	136	-2.6	3.1	195	-0.4	3.1	< 0.01
Walking ability	136	-2.4	3.6	194	-0.5	3.6	< 0.01
Normal work	138	-2.7	3.6	193	-0.6	3.6	< 0.01
Relations with	139	-1.8	2.9	195	-0.0	2.9	< 0.01
others							
Sleeping	139	-2.4	3.2	196	-1.0	3.2	< 0.01
Enjoyment of life	138	-2.4	3.3	192	-0.6	3.3	< 0.01
≥70 years							
Domain	Respor	ıders		Non-re	sponders		P value
	$\mathbf{N}$	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	
General activity	<b>8</b> 7	-2.4	3.1	94	-0.1	3.1	< 0.01
Mood	88	-1.9	3.1	94	-0.3	3.1	< 0.01
Walking ability	86	-1.7	3.6	97	0.1	3.6	< 0.01
Normal work	86	-1.7	3.3	92	-0.2	3.3	< 0.01
Relations with	85	-1.1	2.6	96	0.3	2.6	< 0.01

others

Enjoyment of life 84

Sleeping 86

\*Bolded figures indicates statistically significant values, p≤0.01

	<70 years, n (%)	≥70 years, n (%)	Total, n (%)	
Change in worst p	pain score			
N	359	200	559	
Mean (std)	-2.93 (3.00)	-2.66 (3.10)	-2.84(3.03)	
Min, max	-10, 4	-10, 4	-10, 4	
Change in daily o	ral morphine equivalent	(mg)		
N	384	209	593	
Mean (std)	32.02 (184)	13.54 (84.19)	25.51 (156.4)	
Min, max	-827, 1797	-360, 623	-827, 1797	

≥70 years

Mean

P value

Changes in BPI and C30 Scores at month 2

<70 years

Changes in BPI and C30 scores for responders

Mean

General activity	334	-1.6	3.4	181	-1.2	3.4	0.20
Mood	331	-1.3	3.5	182	-1.1	3.5	0.40
Walking ability	330	-1.3	3.5	183	-0.7	3.5	0.07
Normal work	331	-1.5	3.7	178	-0.9	3.7	0.10
Relationships with	334	-0.8	3.4	181	-0.4	3.4	0.20
others							
Sleeping	335	-1.6	3.5	183	-1.1	3.5	0.17
Enjoyment of life	330	-1.4	3.5	179	-0.5	3.5	0.01
EORTC QLQ-C30							
Domain	<70			≥70			P value
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	
- Physical functioning	253	1.0	20.5	121	0.1	20.5	0.70
<ul> <li>Role functioning</li> </ul>	253	3.2	31.3	121	-0.6	31.3	0.29
Emotional	245	4.5	23.6	120	3.0	23.6	0.56
- functioning							
Cognitive	251	1.9	24.2	121	2.2	24.2	0.89
functioning							
Social functioning	248	2.2	30.3	119	1.0	30.3	0.72
Fatigue	248	-2.6	25.5	121	1.6	25.5	0.14
Nausea and vomiting	247	-1.7	24.7	121	2.6	24.7	0.12
Pain	244	-17.2	29.8	117	-15.0	29.8	0.50
Dyspnea	252	2.4	31.0	119	1.1	31.0	0.70
Sleep		-13.0	35.9	120	-6.7	35.9	0.10
Appetite	248	-1.2	36.4	121	1.7	36.4	0.47
_ Constipation		-5.1	36.7	118	-5.4	36.7	0.95
Diarrhea	247	3.4	25.2	121	7.2	25.2	0.18
Financial	248	0.7	25.9	120	0.6	25.9	0.96
Global QOL	244	2.0	23.3	116	1.9	23.3	0.97
*Bolded figures indica	tes statis	tically signi	ificant val	ues, p≤0.01			
-		, ,		- 1 -			

Domain	<70 years			≥70 years			P valu
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	
General activity	137	-3.0	3.3	87	-2.4	3.3	0.24
Mood	136	-2.6	3.1	88	-1.9	3.1	0.07
Walking ability	136	-2.4	3.6	86	-1.7	3.6	0.15
Normal work	138	-2.7	3.6	86	-1.7	3.6	0.04
Relations with others	139	-1.8	2.9	85	-1.1	2.9	0.07
Sleeping	139	-2.4	3.2	86	-1.7	3.2	0.09
Enjoyment of life	138	-2.4	3.3	84	-1.5	3.3	0.03
EORTC QLQ-C30							
Domain	<70			≥70			P val
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	
Physical functioning	107	6.5	19.1	65	7.2	19.1	0.81
Role functioning	107	12.8	28.7	65	5.6	28.7	0.14
Emotional	101	9.5	20.8	65	8.6	20.8	0.78
functioning							
Cognitive	105	4.8	19.7	65	5.9	19.7	0.71
functioning							
Social functioning	105	7.6	26.3	64	6.3	26.3	0.76
Fatigue	103	-7.8	26.6	65	-6.0	26.6	0.66
Nausea and vomiting	103	-2.9	20.1	65	-1.8	20.1	0.76
Pain	102	-28.8	26.1	63	-27.0	26.1	0.68
Dyspnea	107	1.9	30.7	64	2.1	30.7	0.96
Sleep	102	-19.3	34.3	65	-9.7	34.3	0.07
Appetite	103	-6.5	31.7	65	-4.6	31.7	0.72
Constipation	103	-7.8	32.1	63	-10.6	32.1	0.57
Diarrhea	102	5.6	23.0	65	7.7	23.0	0.57
Financial	104	-1.6	24.3	65	2.1	24.3	0.31
Global QOL	103	7.6	23.5	64	9.0	23.5	0.71

We thank all investigators, clinical research assistants and patients for participation in this study coordinated by the NCIC Clinical Trials Group. This study was supported by the NCIC CTG's programmatic grants from the Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute; the RTOG grant U10 CA21661 and CCOP grant U10 CA37422 from the National Cancer Institute in the US. Funding for Australia & New Zealand was from Cancer Council Australia (Grant for International Infrastructure Support) and Royal Adelaide Hospital (Special Purposes Fund Research Grant). The Dutch Cancer Society funded the national data management for Dutch patients (Dutch Cancer Society; CKTO 2004-06). Funding from the French investigators was from Assistance Publique-Hopitaux de Paris.

< 0.01