

SYMPTOMS AND LIMB CHANGES IN BREAST CANCER SURVIVORS TREATED WITH NEOADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY: LYMPHEDEMA RESULTS OF ACOSOG Z1071 (ALLIANCE)

Jane M. Armer¹, Karla Ballman², Linda McCall³, Vera Suman⁴, Yuanlu Sun¹, Tipparat Udmuangpia¹, Nathan C. Armer,¹ Kelly K. Hunt,⁵ Elizabeth A. Mittendorf⁵, David R. Byrd⁶, Thomas B. Julian⁷, Judy C. Boughey⁸

University of Missouri Sinclair School of Nursing, Columbia, M0; 2. Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY; 3. Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Duke University, Durham, NC; 4. Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; 5. Department of Surgical Oncology, MD Anderson Cancer Center, University of Texas Houston, TX; 6. University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, WA; 7. Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA; 8. Department of Surgical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

INTRODUCTION

- There are about 2.9 million breast cancer survivors in US.
- Research shows one-third to one-half of breast cancer survivors will develop upper extremity lymphedema (LE) during their lifetimes.¹
- LE is defined as an accumulation of fluid with high protein concentrations in the interstitial spaces.²
- Post-breast cancer-related LE follows breast cancer treatment (mastectomy, lumpectomy, axillary dissection, sentinel lymph node surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, or other trauma).³
- The ACOSOG Z1071 trial patients with treated neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by breast surgery and axillary dissection +/radiation.4
- Patients enrolled in the trial were eligible for this longitudinal substudy evaluating LE.
- Limb volume, circumferences, and symptom assessments were measured at baseline prior to surgery and at 6 follow-up visits over 36 months to examine the rate of breast cancer-related LE.

METHODS

Eligibility Criteria

Women older than 18 years old who had:

- cT0-T4,N1-2, M0 according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th edition staging system⁵
- Fine-needle aspiration or core needle biopsy of an axillary node documenting nodal disease at time of diagnosis (prior to preoperative chemotherapy)
- No prior ipsilateral axillary surgery, no prior SLN surgery/excisional lymph node biopsy for pathological confirmation of axillary status

Women were excluded who had:

- Bilateral breast cancer
- Current limb infection or lymphangitis
- Any condition that would affect LE assessment

Only patients with lymphedema data were included in this analysis.

Assessment of LE

Volume, circumferences, and LE symptoms were assessed at each visit.



- Lymphedema definitions were a volume increase >10% or a limb circumference increase >2cm, as compared to baseline and/or the contralateral limb.6,7
- Limb volume changes were measured by circumferences at five anatomic locations, with volume calculated using the truncated cone formula.5
- Symptoms were assessed by the Lymphedema Breast Cancer Questionnaire (LBCQ) via interview.^{7,8} There are 19 symptoms that are components of the LBCQ LE assessment.

Statistical analysis

The database used for these analyses was locked May 1, 2013. Statistical analyses were carried out using SAS (SAS Institute Inc, version 9.2).

RESULTS

Lymphedema data were available on 488 patients with median age 49 years (range 23-78), comprising 70% of the parent study (n=701).

Table 1: Rates of lymphedema over time

	Baseline	1-2 weeks	6 months	12 months	18 months	24 months	36 months	3-year Cumulative Incidence (95% CI)
10% limb volume increase		73/365 (20.0%)	101/347 (29.1%)	112/305 (36.7%)	96/272 (35.3%)	90/249 (36.1%)	82/203 (40.4%)	60.3% (55.0% - 66.2%)
>2cm circumference increase		125/383 (32.6%)	159/362 (43.9%)	146/322 (45.3%)	136/287 (47.4%)	135/261 (51.7%)	96/211 (45.5%)	75.4% (70.8% - 80.2%)
Arm heaviness symptom	27/409 (6.6%)	105/427 (24.6%)	52/402 (12.9%)	55/355 (15.5%)	44/318 (13.8%)	35/288 (12.2%)	27/232 (11.6%)	25.6% (21.3% - 30.6%)
Arm swelling symptom	13/411 (3.2%)	100/426 (23.5%)	51/403 (12.7%)	66/358 (18.4%)	52/318 (16.4%)	47/287 (16.0%)	34/233 (14.6%)	30.9% (26.3% - 36.3%)

RESULTS (continued)

Table 2: Concordance of lymphedema measures (10% vs 2cm increase)

Lymphedema by	Lymphedema by any location 2 cm increase					
10% volume increase	Yes	No				
Yes	178 (63.4%)	33 (30.6%)				
No	103 (36.6%)	75 (69.4%)				
Kanna=0 27 (95% Cl· 0 18 – 0 36) n<0 0001						

- Weighted kappa coefficient was 0.27 (95% CI: 0.18-0.36), indicating modest agreement between the two criteria (see Table 2).
- There was limited agreement with weighted kappa coefficients (0.05-0.09), comparing volume or \geq 2cm increase to reported heaviness and/or swelling.

Table 3. Number of Patients Reporting Multiple Lymphedema Symptoms

Number of	Baseline	1-2 wks	6 mos	12 mos	18 mos	24 mos	36 mos
Symptoms	n=387	n=402	n=380	n=342	n=302	n=277	n=220
	245	49	103	131	142	137	112
	(63.3%)	(12.2%)	(27.1%)	(38.3%)	(47.0%)	(49.5%)	(50.9%)
1	59	36	66	58	49	41	38
	(15.2%)	(9.0%)	(17.4%)	(17.0%)	(16.2%)	(14.8%)	(17.3%)
2-5	57	128	126	95	66	54	40
	(14.7%)	(31.8%)	(33.2%)	(27.8%)	(21.8%)	(19.5%)	(18.2%)
6+	26	189	85	58	45	45	30
	(6.7%)	(47.0%)	(22.4%)	(17.0%)	(14.9%)	(16.2%)	(13.6%)
1 or more	142	353	277	211	160	140	108
	(36.7%)	(87.8%)	(72.9%)	(61.7%)	(53.0%)	(50.5%)	(49.1%)

- Three-fifths (63.3%) of participants reported 'no symptoms' at baseline pre-operatively (Table 3). One-tenth as many (6.7%) experienced '6 or more symptoms' at baseline.
- Eighty-eight percent reported '1 or more symptoms' at post-op (1-2 weeks after surgery), with one-fifth (22.5%) reporting '6 or more symptoms'.
- Half of participants (50.9%) reported 'no symptoms' at 36 months, whereas 1 in 6 (13.6%) reported 'six or more symptoms' at 36 months.
- Although a small number of participants, the percentage with '6 or more symptoms' doubled from baseline to 36 months.
- Overall, presence of 'any symptoms' gradually decreased from postop (87.8%) to 36 months (49.1%).

CONCLUSIONS

- Incidence of limb volume and circumference changes meeting the criteria for lymphedema gradually increased over 36 months.
 - Lymphedema symptoms decreased from post-op over 18 months after surgery, thereafter remaining stable.
 - Findings underscore the value of prospective clinical surveillance from pre-op to 36 months.
- Reported occurrence of lymphedema is dependent on the criteria applied.
 - The criterion of <a>>10% volume chance compared to baseline and contralateral limb volume change is a slightly more conservative measure of whole-limb volume change.
 - >2 cm circumference change in arm girth at any anatomic point is perhaps 'too sensitive' for identifying whole-limb change.
 - We recommend the >10% volume change criterion be used for future analysis of these Z1071 data and other clinical trials.
 - More sensitive volume change (5%) or girth change may be used for early detection and referral to lymphedema specialist care.
- We highly recommend the inclusion of both an objective and subjective measure for lymphedema assessment in the prospective surveillance model and in clinical practice, since objective measures



At 36 months post-surgery, lymphedema incidence was:

- 60.3% (95% CI: 55.0%-66.2%) by the criterion of \geq 10% limb volume increase
- 75.4% (95% CI: 70.8%-80.2%) by \geq 2cm circumference increase criterion Arm heaviness and arm swelling had a 3-year 25-31% cumulative incidence, respectively.

Lymphedema symptoms were relatively stable after 18 months.

(limb volume and girth) of lymphedema are not always highly correlated with subjective measures (such as symptom report).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research was supported by UG1CA189823, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00881361

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society (2014). Breast Cancer Facts & Figures 2013–2014; Author: Atlanta, GA, USA. Poage, E., Singer, M., Armer, J., Poundall, M., & Shellabarger, M.J. (2008). Demystifying lymphedema: Development of the lymphedema putting evidence into practice card. CJON, 12, 951–964. Fu, M.R.; Ridner, S.H.; Armer, J.M. (2009). Post-breast cancer lymphedema: Part 2. AJN, 109, 34–41.
- 4. Boughey, JC, Suman, VJ, Mittendorf, EA et al. (2013). Sentinel Lymph Node Surgery After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Node-Positive Breast Cancer The ACOSOG Z1071 (Alliance) Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2013;310(14):1455-1461. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.278932
- 5. Edge, S. B., & Compton, C. C. (2010). The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. Annals of surgical oncology, 17(6), 1471-1474.
- 6. Armer, J.M., Stewart, B.R. A (2005). Comparison of four diagnostic criteria for lymphedema in a post-breast cancer population. Lymphatic Research and Biology, 3, 208–217.
- Armer, J.M. (2005). The problem of post-breast cancer lymphedema: Impact and measurement. Cancer Investigation, 23, 76-83
- Armer, J.M., Radina, M.E., Porock, D., & Culbertson, S.D. (2003). Predicting breast cancer-related lymphedema using self-reported symptoms. Nursing Research, 52, 370-379.