

BMI < 30

BMI ≥30

< 35y

Analysis of the main risk factors for gestational diabetes (GDM) diagnosed with International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) criteria in multiple pregnancies.

Maggio L*, Serena C*, Cozzolino M**, Rambaldi MP*, Simeone S*, Mello G***, Pasquini L*, Di Tommaso M***, Mecacci F* Department of Sciences for the Health of Women and Children, Careggi Hospital, Florence, Italy

*Department of Biomedical, Experimental and Clinical Sciences - Division of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Florence, Italy ***Department of Sciences for the Health of Women and Children, Careggi Hospital, University of Florence, Italy

Introduction

Women with multiple pregnancies are at increased risk of maternal and neonatal complications. It's unclear whether GDM is more common in twin or singleton pregnancies, since available data are conflicting ^{1,2}. This study was designed to investigate the proportion of multiple pregnancies referred to our center with a diagnosis of GDM using the IADPSG criteria³, and also to identify the impact of age, body mass index (BMI) and mode of conception on the incidence of GDM in this population.

Materials and Methods

This is a single center, retrospective cohort study on 656 multiple pregnancies screened for GDM with 75-grams, 2hour oral glucose tolerance test at 24-28 weeks of gestation from 2010 to 2016. GDM was diagnosed by the IADPSG criteria when one glucose value was greater than or equal to the established cut off: fasting plasma glucose \geq 92 mg/dL,1-h \geq 180mg/dL,and 2h \geq 153 mg/dL.Exclusion criteria included maternal pre-gestational diabetes and hypertension, major fetal anomalies and TTTS.

Figure 2

≥ 40\

Results									
Ag	Table 1 ge < 35y ≥ 35y and < 40y	Number 236 235	Percentage 36.0 % 35.8 %	Data from 656 multiple pregnancies were collected. Baseline characteristics are presented in table 1. The incidence of GDM in multiple pregnancies referred to our hospital was				e of was	
в	≥ 40y VII	185	28.2 %	15.1% (n.99). Differences in age and BMI based on conception mode are presented in table 2.					
	<18.5 ≥ 18.5 and < 25	26 380	4.0 % 57.9 %	Table 2	Spontane	ous ART- Homologou	us p	ART- Heterologous	р
	≥ 25 and < 30	131	20.0 %	Avarage B	MI 25.4 ± 6	.2 23.5 ± 3.8	3 n.s.	24.2 ± 4.1	n.s.
	≥ 30	≥ 30 119 18.1%		Average A	ge 34.2 ± 4	.9 35.2 ± 5.2	2 n.s.	42.3 ± 3.5	<0.001
	Figure 1	0	Incidence of GD p n.s. 13,6 %	18,2 %	1001 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 Spontaneou	ance of GDM 31,1 %	35 O 30 - 25 - 20 - 15 - 10 - 5 - 0 -	Incidence of GD 0.006 IR 2.59 14,8%	M 31,1% ART-Het
45 40 - 35 - 30 - 25 - 20 - 15 -	40 - OR 4.88 35 - 25 - 20 - 14,8%		Incidence of GDM 0.01 18,4% 11,1% 1		Incidence p 0.009 OR 1.97 13,2%	of GDM 23,1%			

Conclusions

≥ 35y

The IADPSG has recently recommended new universal screening and diagnosis criteria based on the HAPO study⁴. Overall, using the proposed criteria, 17.8% of the HAPO population would be identified as having GDM. The prevalence of GDM in twin pregnancies using the IADPSG screening protocol is still unclear, and in our study population is 15.1%. Our study confirms that ART is a relevant risk factor for GDM but we highlight that this observation refers to donor oocyte ART subgroup patients. However, it should be considered that these patients are significantly older, and the effect of age should not be ignored despite no differences in terms of BMI being identified. Indeed, our results confirm the role of advanced maternal age as a relevant risk factor for GDM in multiple pregnancies but the most relevant risk factor for GDM in our population of multiple pregnancies is BMI \geq 30.

In conclusion, our data support the relationship of age, BMI and mode of conception with GDM in multiple pregnancies: if a wide range of clinical information can be collected, more accurate assessment can be offered to couples in order to define pregnancy outcome.

References

¹ Gestational diabetes in twin pregnancy: prevalence and long term complications. Wein P, Warwick MM, Beischer NA. Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 1992, 32:325–327.

² Risk for gestational diabetes and hypertension for women with twin pregnancy compared to singleton pregnancy. Buhling KJ, Henrich W, Starr E, Lubke M, Bertram S, Siebert G, Dudenhausen JW. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2003;269(1):33.

³ International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel, Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA, Damm P, Dyer AR, Leiva Ad, Hod M, Kitzmiler JL, Lowe LP, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Omori Y, Schmidt MI. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(3):676-82.

⁴ Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS, Sacks DA. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2008 8;358(19):1991-2002.