

Relationship between the degree of improvement in glucose metabolism and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes patients

Makoto Ohara, Hiroe Nagaike, Nobuko Maeki, Saki Yamamoto, Hideki Kushima, Munenori Hiromura, Takeshi Yamamoto, Toshiyuki Hayashi , Tomoyasu Fukui , Tsutomu Hirano Department of Medicine, Division of Diabetes, Metabolism, and Endocrinology, Showa University School of Medicine, Japan

BACKGROUND AND AIM

Previous clinical studies have reported an association between the activation of oxidative stress and daily glucose variability. Likewise, our group previously reported that oxidative stress was associated with both daily and day-to-day glucose variability. Before now, however, there have been no reports of a relationship between glucose variability and oxidative stress from an intervention study. In this study, we sought to evaluate whether glucose variability and markers of diabetic control help to improve oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes(T2DM).

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The study subjects were 36 type 2 diabetes outpatients. The patients underwent 72 hours of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and the diacron-reactive oxygen metabolites (d-ROMs) test before and after a 6month intervention period(Treatment target were defined FPG<130mg/dl, 2hrPG<180mg/dl, HbA1c<7%). The fasting plasma glucose (FPG), mean glucose level (MGL), mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE), mean of daily differences (MODD), and area under the postprandial plasma glucose curve (AUC_{PP}) were calculated from CGM data. Finally, the relationship between the glucose metabolism improvement and oxidative stress improvement in T2DM was evaluated.

BASELINE CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SUBJECTS

Clinical characteristics	Means ± SD, n (%)	Clinical characteristics	Means ± SD, n (%)	
Age (years)	61.6 ± 11.9		94 + 16	
Sex (male)	28 (77.8)	HDATC (%)	0.4 ± 1.0	
Body-mass index (kg/m²)	26.1 ± 4.7		174.4 + 40.0	
Smoking (%)	6 (16.7)	MGL (mg/di)	174.4 ± 40.2	
Duration of diabetes (years)	11.4 ± 8.5		110.0 + 04.5	
Hypertension	23 (63.9)	MAGE (mg/di)	110.0± 34.5	
Dyslipidemia	24 (66.7)		00.0 1 0.0	
Blood pressure (mm Hg)		MODD(mg/di)	28.6 ± 8.3	
Systolic	128.6 ± 18.1	FDO (140.0 + 04.0	
Diastolic	73.0 ± 10.3	FPG(mg/dl)	142.8 ± 24.0	
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mg /dl)	106.4 ± 29.3		1010.0705	
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mg /dl)	48.6 ± 21.3	AUG _{PP} (mg/dl/hr)	424.3± 2/9.5	
Triglycerides (mg /dl)	148.7 ± 101.4			
estimated glomerular filtration rate (ml/min/1.73m)	77.9 ± 24.7	d-ROMs (U.CARR)	337.0 ± 58.0	

Diabetes Treatment

Diabetes treatment	Before intervention n (%)	After intervention n (%)		
Diabetes therapy				
Diet alone	7(19.4)	0(0.0)		
Metformin	9(25.0)	16(44.4)		
Sulfonylurea	10(27.8)	3(8.3)		
Glinide	3(8.3)	3(8.3)		
α -glucosidase inhibitor	4(11.1)	6(16.7)		
Thiazolidine	0(0)	3(8.3)		
Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor	16(44.4)	10(27.8)		
Glucose-like peptide 1 receptor agonist	2(5.6)	16(44.4)		
Insulin	9(25.0)	16(44.4)		
Other treatments				
Lipid-lowering drugs(Statin)	11(30.6)	17(47.2)		
Antihypertensive drugs(ARB)	12(33.3)	22(61.1)		

RESULTS

(Relationship of the change in the d-ROMs with the change in glucose metabolism variables non-glycemic clinical and laboratory variables)

Comparison of Glycemic Control and d-ROMs in the 1st and 2nd series

d-ROMs (U.CARR)

 337.0 ± 58.3

 310.0 ± 57.5

0.030

	1 st series	2 nd series	p		∆FPG	∆MGL	∆HbA1c	∆MAGE	∆ MODD	∆ AUC _{PP}	∆ HDL-C	∆LDL-C	∆TG
/GL(mg/dl)	1744 + 403	131 1 + 24 5	< 0.001	∆MGL	0.642**								
	.,			∆HbA1c	0.535**	0.693**							
PG(mg/dl) 142.8 ±	142.8 ± 24.0	119.6 ± 30.5	< 0.001	∆MAGE	0.258	0.542**	0.324						
		24.0 119.6 ± 30.5 <0.001											
HbA1C (%)	8.4 ± 1.6	6.7 ± 0.9	<0.001	$\Delta\text{AUC}_{\text{PP}}$	0.351*	0.451*	0.304	0.671**	0.200				
				∆ HDL-C	0.098	-0.225	0.099	0.056	0.039	-0.086			
/AGE (mg/dl)	116.0 ± 34.5	88.8 ± 32.9	<0.001	∆LDL-C	0.167	0.309	0.728**	0.166	-0.066	0.156	0.114		
/ODD(mg/dl)	28.6 ± 8.3	26.0 ± 11.3	0.067	ΔTG	0.268	0.269	0.206	-0.039	-0.038	-0.046	-0.188	-0.119	
				∆dROMs	0.574**	0.439**	0.403*	0.273	0.042	0.312	0.146	0.232	0.139
AUC _{PP} (mg/dl/hr)	424.3±279.5	280.1 ± 248.9	0.025										
					RESULTS								

(Independent effect of th on the change	e change in marker e in the d-ROMs test	s of diabetic control results)				
	Dependent variables: d-ROMs (U.CARR.)					
β coefficient	t-value	P-value	Fu			
		<0.001*				

0.651

0.802

4.083

0.309

0.520

0.428

0.00

CONCLUSION

∆MGL

∆HbA1c

∆FPG

Improved glucose metabolism reduces oxidative stress in T2DM. Different from the cross-sectional study which is earlier data showing a strong correlation between glycemic variability and oxidative stress in T2DM, improved FPG, but not improved glucose variability or postprandial glucose, is strongly associated with oxidative stress reduction in T2DM.