Patient Expectations for Chronic Pain Management: a Systematic Review

José W. Geurts¹ MSc; Paul C. Willems² MD PhD; Craig Lockwood³ PhD; Maarten van Kleef MD PhD; Jos Kleijnen PhD; Carmen Dirksen PhD

aastricht University Medical Centre, Department of Anaesthesiology, and Pain Medicine, Malibox 5800, 6202 AZ, Maastricht, the Netherlands. Maastricht University Medical Centre, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Malibox 5800, 6202 AZ, Maastricht, the Netherlands. The Joanna Briggs Institute, The Maastricht UMC+ University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 5005, South Australia. * Maastricht University, School for Public Health and Primary Care, Maastricht, The Netherlands Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd., York, UK. 5 Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Medical Technology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, P. Debeillaan 25,

Up to 79% of chronic pain patients are unsatisfied with pain management and believe that their pain is inadequately treated.* Meeting patients' expectations will produce greater satisfaction with care. The challenge is to explore patients' genuine expectations and needs. However, the term expectation encompasses several concepts and may concern many aspects of health care provision. This systematic review is aimed to collect information on types of patients' expectations for chronic pain management.

Methods

- Systematic review of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies.
- Because of the multidimensional character of the term 'expectations' the search included subject headings and free text words related to the concept of expectations. (Figure 1)
- A framework for understanding patients' expectations (figure 1) was used to map types of expectations within structure, process, or outcome of healthcare.

Figure 1. Framework for understanding expectations



Necessity (what is perceived to be needed)

normative standards (that which should be)

 Normative expectations: What should or ought to happen Entitlement (that which is owed or to which one has a right) and

Predicted expectation: Expected outcomes (realistic, practical, or anticipated)

The likelihood of future clinical occurrences

Results

- Inclusion: 18 quantitative and 5 qualitative papers
- All 18 quantitative papers described outcome expectations, 3 qualitative papers reported both outcome and process expectations, and 1 paper described process and structure expectations. (Table 1)
- Patients generally have high expectations regarding pain reduction after treatment, but expectations are higher when expressed as a value expectation (ideal = 81 to 93% pain relief) than as a predicted expectation (predicted = 44 to 64% pain relief).

Table 1	Structure	Process	Outcome	Total
Type expectation	N papers	N papers	N papers	
Quantitative	1	1	18	18
Value (only)	1(0)	1(0)	10(5)	12
Predicted (only)	0(0)	1(0)	13(8)	14
Both Value & Predicted	0	1	5	6
Qualitative	1	3	3	5
Value (only)	1(1)	3(2)	3(2)	7
Predicted (only)	0(0)	1(0)	2(0)	3
Both Value & Predicted	0	1	2	3
Total Sum	2	4	21	23
Only = restricted to this type of expectation				



Conclusions

Asking the right question regarding patients' expectations is important for pain management and related research. Structure and process expectations are underrepresented in our findings. However, exploring and meeting patients' expectations regarding structure, process, and outcome aspects of pain management may increase patient satisfaction.